ORIGINAL



1 2

3

4

5

COMMISSIONERS

BOB STUMP, Chairman **GARY PIERCE BRENDA BURNS** BOB BURNS

SUSAN BITTER SMITH

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATIO

2013 APR -9 P 1:47

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

APR - 9 2013

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. T-02532A-08-0542

PROCEDURAL ORDER

1)1

6 IN THE MATTER OF MIDVALE TELEPHONE CHANGE INC.'S APPLICATION FOR AN

7 AMENDMENT TO ITS CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BY THE COMMISSION:

On October 17, 2008, Midvale Telephone Exchange Inc. ("Midvale") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for an amendment to its Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N"), to include customer locations within Owest Communication

Corporation's ("QCC's") service area. In the application, Midvale stated that it desired Commission

authorization to provide facilities-based local exchange service and toll service to two currently

unserved customers located in an area of Yayapai County immediately contiguous to the Long

Meadows portion of Midvale's Mill Site Exchange ("requested extension area").

On November 12, 2008, Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") filed a notice indicating that it had accepted service of process of Midvale's application, as it was Qwest rather than QCC that was providing local exchange telecommunications services in the requested extension area. Qwest also requested that the service list for this matter be revised to include Qwest and exclude QCC.

On November 14, 2008, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") issued a Letter of Insufficiency to Midvale, along with a request for additional data.

On May 7, 2010, a Procedural Order was issued requiring Staff to file an update on the status of this matter, including any appropriate recommendations as to how the matter should be resolved and a statement regarding whether the matter should be administratively closed.

On May 12, 2010, Midvale filed an amended application, in which Midvale continued to identify QCC as the provider for the service area including the requested extension area. Midvale stated that the amended application changed the description of the requested extension area and

1

2

1

3 4

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19

21

20

22 23

24

26

25

27

28

provided updated loop/line counts. Subsequently, Midvale filed a revised legal description for the requested extension area.

On May 26, 2010, Staff filed a Staff Update stating that Staff was reviewing Midvale's application and would process it.

On June 14, 2010, Midvale filed Responses to Staff's First Set of Data Requests.

On June 29, 2010, Staff filed a Letter of Sufficiency stating that Midvale's amended application had met the sufficiency requirements of A.A.C. R14-2-502 and that the Commission had 150 calendar days to complete its substantive review.

On July 1, 2010, a Procedural Order was issued requiring Qwest, by July 30, 2010, to file a document either requesting to be added to this docket as a joint applicant or explaining why it was not necessary for Qwest to participate as a party herein. The Procedural Order further permitted Midvale and Staff to make filings providing input on the need for Owest to participate as a party herein and extended the Commission's time frame to issue a decision in this matter by 30 days.

On July 30, 2010, Qwest filed Qwest Corporation's Motion to Be Added as a Necessary Party, and Statement of Position, in which Qwest requested to be added as a necessary party in interest to this proceeding and not to be designated as a joint applicant. Qwest stated that Qwest supported Midvale's application and that Qwest was willing to participate and fully cooperate in the proceeding, but that Qwest was not the moving entity and did not believe that it should be required to bear the costs of the proceeding. Qwest also noted that Qwest and Midvale had agreed that the circumstances underlying Midvale's application also existed or could arise with other portions of Qwest's Prescott Exchange bordering Midvale's existing service area. Qwest stated that Midvale and Qwest had agreed that it would be more efficient to address these circumstances comprehensively in this proceeding by including additional portions of Qwest's Exchange that could be served more economically by Midvale. Qwest also stated that it understood Midvale was preparing to amend its application again.

On August 11, 2010, a Procedural Order was issued joining Qwest as a necessary party in interest in this matter and suspending the time frame in this matter until Midvale filed with Docket 1 2

Control either another amendment to its application or a document stating that it was ready to go forward with its application as it stood.

On November 15, 2010, Midvale filed a Second Amended Application, in which it again identified QCC as the holder of the service area in which the requested extension area was located and amended the requested extension area by requesting expansion of its CC&N service area to include all of Section 13, Township 16N, Range 4W (rather than only the southeast quarter of the Section); the southern one-half and northwest one-quarter of Section 23, Township 16N, Range 4W (rather than only part of the southeast one-quarter of Section 23); and the following additional territory:

Within Township 16N, Range 4W: The western one-half of Section 22, the southern one-half and northwest quarter of Section 15, the northern one-half and southwest quarter of Section 14, all of Section 1, all of Section 2, all of Section 11, and all of Section 12; and

Within Township 16N, Range 3W: The western one-half of Section 20, and all of Section 17 except for the Hootenanny Holler Development and that portion of Section 17 north of Williamson Valley Road.

On November 16, 2010, a Procedural Order was issued requiring Qwest to file a response to Midvale's Second Amended Application, requiring Staff to file a document regarding the sufficiency of Midvale's Second Amended Application, and suspending the time frame in this matter.

On December 7, 2010, Staff filed Staff's Second Letter of Insufficiency and Second Set of Data Requests.

On December 15, 2010, Midvale filed an amended Attachment C to its Second Amended Application, which included a legal description.

On January 4, 2011, Qwest filed its response to the Second Amended Application, stating that the legal description in the amended Attachment C to the Second Amended Application was correct; that Qwest was the local exchange service provider of record in the affected areas; and that Qwest consented to the transfer of the requested extension area to Midvale, for the reasons stated in Qwest's Motion filed on July 30, 2010.

No additional filings were made in this docket until December 16, 2011, when a Procedural Order was issued requiring Midvale and Qwest to make filings providing their current positions in the

' |

This is understood to mean December 15, 2010.

matter and proposals for how the matter should proceed and requiring Staff to make a filing in response and including a recommendation as to how this matter should proceed.

On January 6, 2012, in Docket No. T-02532A-10-0207 et al., Decision No. 72728 was issued approving a Midvale request to transfer its assets, liabilities, and customers to Midvale Telephone Company, Inc. ("MTCI") and transferring to MTCI both Midvale's CC&N for facilities-based local exchange telecommunications services and Midvale's Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC") designation.

On January 17, 2012, Qwest dba CenturyLink-QC ("CenturyLink") filed its response to the December 2011 Procedural Order, stating that its position in this matter had not changed and that it continued to support the proposed transfer of territory as set forth in the Second Amended Application, as amended by Midvale's Attachment C included in the filing of December 15, 2011 [sic]. CenturyLink added that it believed this matter should proceed in typical fashion, with a Staff Report, followed by a brief hearing after notice to affected customers.

On January 30, 2012, MTCI fna Midvale filed its response to the December 2011 Procedural Order, stating that MTCI desired to seek transfer of the territory in the Second Amended Application, as amended by Midvale's Attachment C included in the filing of December 15, 2011 [sic]. MTCI stated that it believed the matter should move forward with a Staff Report, notice to Midvale's customers, and a short hearing. MTCI also stated that it would not object to having the matter proceed to Open Meeting without a hearing.

On February 17, 2012, Staff filed its response to the December 2011 Procedural Order, stating that Staff agreed that the matter should proceed, but had not yet received Midvale's response to Staff's Second Letter of Insufficiency and Second Data Request. Staff recommended that, in order to move forward, Midvale file its response to the Second Data Request and all future Data Requests in an expeditious manner, to allow Staff to make a sufficiency finding and complete its analysis. Staff stated that it agreed with the process described by CenturyLink in its January 2012 filing.

This is understood to mean December 15, 2010.

1
2
3

for a transfer to be approved.

... .

More than a year has now passed without any additional filings in this matter. As of this date, Midvale's original application is approximately four and one-half years old, its Second Amended Application is approximately two and one-half years old, and neither Midvale nor Qwest has the same organizational structure and affiliations that it did when the original application was filed. It is possible that the information on file for this matter may be sufficiently stale that this docket should be administratively closed and MTCI should be required to file a fresh application if MTCI still desires

However, before such an action is taken, the parties should again be required to express their current positions as to this matter and how it should be resolved, specifically addressing whether this docket should be administratively closed.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that MTCI shall, by May 10, 2013, file a document providing MTCI's current position in this matter and MTCI's proposal for how this matter should be resolved, specifically addressing whether this docket should be administratively closed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that CenturyLink shall, by May 10, 2013, file a document providing CenturyLink's current position in this matter and CenturyLink's proposal for how this matter should be resolved, specifically addressing whether this docket should be administratively closed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that **Staff shall**, by May 31, 2013, file a document responding to the MTCI and CenturyLink filings and recommending how this matter should be resolved, specifically addressing whether this docket should be administratively closed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Arizona Supreme Court Rules 31 and 38 and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to the practice of law and admission *pro hac vice*.

..

. . .

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, 1 or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at 2 3 hearing. DATED this Hay of April 2013. 4 5 6 7 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE 8 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this day of April, 2013, to: 10 Gary H. Horton Attorney at Law 11 PMB 447 989 South Main Street, Suite A 12 Cottonwood, AZ 86326 13 Midvale Telephone Company, Inc. P.O. Box 7 14 2205 Keithley Creek Road Midvale, ID 83645 15 Norman G. Curtright 16 Reed Peterson QWEST CORPORATION DBA CENTURYLINK-QC 17 20 East Thomas Road, 16th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85012 18 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel 19 Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 20 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 21 Steven Olea, Director 22 **Utilities Division** ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 23 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007 24 25 26 By: 27 Assistant to Sarah N. Harpring

28